

DEVELOPMENT OF THE POLITICAL PARTY SYSTEM IN NEW UZBEKISTAN: INSTITUTIONAL REFORMS AND PRACTICAL ACHIEVEMENTS

Ikramjon Batirovich Masharipov

Professor at Tashkent State University of Economics,

Doctor of Political Sciences

E-mail: ikrommasharipov1967@gmail.com

ORCID iD: 0009-0003-4017-2157

Abstract

This article provides a scholarly analysis of the development processes, institutional foundations, and ongoing reforms within the political party system in the context of New Uzbekistan. Political parties are evaluated as essential intermediary institutions that represent citizens' interests across the interconnected chain of "citizen – party – representative body – parliament – lawmaking – public oversight." The study highlights the outcomes of reforms aimed at modernizing the electoral system, expanding the powers of parliament and party factions, and elevating civic political participation. In addition, existing institutional challenges in the activities of political parties are analyzed, and viable, evidence-based recommendations are proposed to address them.

Keywords: New Uzbekistan, political parties, party reforms, parliament, civil society, electorate, political participation, party system.

Introduction

Political parties play a pivotal role in democratic state-building by expressing the political interests and needs of citizens, engaging them in public administration processes, and safeguarding representative democracy. Through political parties, citizens participate in elections, exercise their right to delegate representatives to parliament, and directly influence lawmaking and public oversight mechanisms. Following national independence, a multi-party system emerged in Uzbekistan, transforming political parties into vital institutions of state and public life. In particular, the reforms implemented within the framework of building New Uzbekistan have concentrated on democratizing the political architecture, ensuring open and transparent electoral procedures, and reinforcing the authority of parliament. In his addresses, President Sh. M. Mirziyoyev underscored that "increasing the political activity of citizens is the paramount condition of democratic reforms," pointing out that political parties must serve as the vanguard institutions of this process.

This strategic vision requires political parties not to confine their operations solely to election cycles, but rather to function as permanent, continuously operating institutions within society. In this regard, parties must maintain an open dialogue with citizens, systematically investigate grassroots issues, and advance solutions via targeted legislative initiatives. At the same time, practical experience reveals that close organic ties between parties and society, the effectiveness of their outreach to the electorate, and their legislative influence in parliament are not yet fully realized. The core principle articulated by the President—stating that "parliament and party factions must establish effective oversight over the executive branch"—serves as a vital benchmark defining the institutional essence of party activity. Consequently, further improving the political party system and elevating its institutional capacity remains an urgent imperative.

Literature Review

The political party system, its standing in civil society, and its institutional trajectory have long been subjects of sustained scholarly inquiry in global political science. The theoretical and methodological approaches utilized in this study draw upon classical and contemporary political theories, as well as domestic and international scholarship dedicated to party reforms in Uzbekistan. In classical political theory, parties are conceptualized as foundational institutions of democracy. Max

Weber viewed parties as organized political entities competing for power, directing particular focus toward their functions in cadre selection and political elite recruitment. This framework offers an essential theoretical lens for understanding the institutional nature of parties.

For systemic analysis of party structures, Giovanni Sartori's typology of party systems holds profound significance. Sartori demonstrated that competition, opposition, and institutional stability within a multi-party system represent the fundamental prerequisites for democratic consolidation. Furthermore, Maurice Duverger's sociological approach to parties as organizations provides critical tools for analyzing internal party hierarchy, degrees of centralization, and mass mobilization bases.

Seymour Martin Lipset's concept linking social cleavages with political participation clarifies the sociological foundations of parties within society. Lipset argued that parties can guarantee democratic stability only when they effectively aggregate and articulate the interests of distinct social strata—a perspective directly applicable to assessing party-electorate relations in Uzbekistan.

The "civic culture" framework advanced by Gabriel Almond and Sidney Verba is central to examining political participation, civic consciousness, and public perceptions of parties. This theory posits that the efficiency of party activity is inextricably linked to the prevailing level of political culture in society. Meanwhile, Samuel Huntington's model of "political order in changing societies" substantiates the complex, non-linear nature of party institutionalization in transitional states, justifying the necessity of gradual, phased party reforms in Uzbekistan.

In reviewing comparative international practices, Takashi Inoguchi's scholarship on the Japanese party system is instructive. His work explores long-term ideological stability, the functional dynamics of parliamentary factions, and institutionalized mechanisms of leadership training. This experience provides valuable comparative perspectives for modernizing Uzbekistan's party infrastructure.

Domestic scholarly contributions on political parties and civil society institutions form a major pillar of this review. The works of M. Kirgizbaev, S. Saidov, and Z. Islamov provide comprehensive inquiries into the national political system, party-society interactions, and the democratization process. Their research contextualizes party reform within national historical trajectories and local sociopolitical conditions.

Moreover, empirical reports from international organizations—such as OSCE/ODIHR, Freedom House, International IDEA, and UNDP—serve as vital empirical benchmarks for assessing electoral administration, party system institutionalization, and civic participation in Uzbekistan. These reports illuminate both positive structural transformations and persistent institutional constraints. Overall, the reviewed literature demonstrates that advancing the political party system is not confined merely to legal and procedural reforms, but represents a multidimensional process encompassing ideological, institutional, and social determinants. These theoretical insights provide robust groundwork for deepening party reforms and maximizing their capacity as civil society institutions in New Uzbekistan.

Methodology

This study employs dialectical, systemic, and institutional approaches. The operations of political parties are analyzed in close relation to civil society institutions and public authorities. The investigation utilizes the following methods:

Historical-institutional analysis: tracing the stages of formation, evolution, and consolidation of the party system in Uzbekistan;

Structural-functional analysis: assessing the representative, legislative, and oversight functions of political parties;

Comparative political analysis: drawing parallels with party systems in European democracies, the United States, and Japan;

15	ISSN 2277-3630 (online), Published by International journal of Social Sciences & Interdisciplinary Research., under Volume: 15 Issue: 09 in September-2026 https://www.gejournal.net/index.php/IJSSIR
	Copyright (c) 2026 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Content analysis: scrutinizing official party platforms, election manifestos, and legislative initiatives;

Secondary empirical analysis: evaluating official state statistics and indices published by international monitoring bodies.

Results and Discussion

In recent years, Uzbekistan has executed a comprehensive suite of reforms aimed at modernizing its political party ecosystem:

1. Improvement of Electoral Legislation: The adoption of the unified Electoral Code created a solid legal foundation for openness, transparency, and fairness in electoral processes, establishing an equitable competitive environment for all political parties.

2. Reinforcement of Parliament's Institutional Role: The competencies of party factions in the Legislative Chamber were expanded, granting enhanced authority to conduct hearings of cabinet members, debate government reports, and actively shape legislative agendas.

3. Revitalization of Dialogue between Parties and Citizens: Parties instituted public reception offices, regular citizen forums, and direct constituent meetings. These platforms have positively influenced civic political awareness and engagement.

4. Involvement of Youth and Women: Targeted legal and organizational mechanisms were introduced to incorporate youth and women into formal politics. Expanding their representation on party lists has fostered an institutional talent pipeline.

Notwithstanding these achievements, significant institutional shortcomings persist:

Insufficient operational activity and autonomy at the level of primary (local) party branches;

The frequent persistence of abstract, declaratory promises in party manifestos;

Lack of continuous, year-round engagement with the electorate outside campaign windows;

Underdeveloped systems for recruiting, training, and vetting future political leaders. These constraints hinder political parties from fully realizing their potential as core civil society institutions.

To achieve sustainable progress in Uzbekistan's party landscape, strategic priorities must include: deepening internal party democracy; ensuring operational autonomy for local party chapters; making programmatic platforms practical, quantifiable, and accessible to the public; activating factional parliamentary oversight instruments; and institutionalizing modern political education and cadre training programs.

In New Uzbekistan, the party system has entered a qualitatively new developmental phase. The strategic directives outlined by President Sh. M. Mirziyoyev—aimed at transforming parties into competitive, transparent, and influential institutions—form the theoretical and operational core of this transformation. However, converting these opportunities into tangible outcomes depends directly on internal organizational capacity, grassroots societal integration, and the level of political responsibility exhibited by the parties themselves.

Comparative International Dimensions

Global practice shows that the efficacy of a party system is determined not merely by formal statutory codes, but by internal democratic procedures, constituent engagement culture, financial transparency, parliamentary faction discipline, and actionable instruments of executive oversight.

This comparative synthesis demonstrates that while institutional reforms in New Uzbekistan (the Electoral Code, broader parliamentary powers, empowered factions) have constructed a sturdy legal infrastructure, five critical benchmarks determine substantive political influence:

Internal Democracy and Candidate Selection: Adopting competitive selection elements (resembling US primaries or Japanese cadre academies) to give lower party tiers a genuine voice in selecting leaders and candidates.

Instrumentalization of Parliamentary Oversight: Systematizing the European practice of committee inquiries, minister questioning sessions, and line-item budget monitoring.

Programmatic Pragmatism and Measurable Targets: Aligning political party manifestos with concrete timelines, quantitative metrics, and deliverable commitments.

Continuous Grassroots Engagement: Moving from episodic campaign drives to year-round constituent casework and community problem-solving.

Faction Discipline and Political Accountability: Maintaining principled, coherent policy stances in parliament while evaluating executive policy strictly by measurable results.

Hence, the core modernization task in New Uzbekistan is bridging the gap between legal frameworks and practical governance—transitioning parties from "campaign-season entities" into permanent, robust democratic institutions.

Conclusion

The political party system in New Uzbekistan has embarked on a path of systematic institutional development. Significant strides have been made in reinforcing the rule of law, modernizing electoral administration, expanding parliamentary jurisdiction, and invigorating the lawmaking and oversight roles of parliamentary factions. The enactment of the unified Electoral Code strengthened fair competition and legal safeguards for civic participation, while heightened factional activity created essential institutional preconditions for parties to act as genuine representative bodies.

However, these achievements alone are insufficient to transform political parties into fully effective democratic institutions. The resilience and competitiveness of a party system are measured primarily by the depth of its organic links with society—demonstrated through sustained dialogue with the electorate, systematic diagnosis of regional challenges, and genuine aggregation of civic interests. In practice, the passivity of primary party organizations, the abstract character of certain programmatic agendas, sporadic constituent engagement, and the deficit of institutionalized political education systems continue to constrain overall capacity.

To establish political parties as authoritative actors within an open, competitive political landscape, the following strategic directions are essential:

1. Strengthening Internal Party Democracy: Expanding the influence of lower-tier organizations in candidate nomination and leadership selection, enhancing transparency, and formalizing leadership accountability;

2. Institutional Activation of Primary Party Branches: Transforming grassroots chapters from mere administrative units into dynamic sociopolitical platforms that identify local grievances and channel civic proposals upward;

3. Enhancing Programmatic Practicality: Formulating clear policy initiatives tied to measurable benchmarks, actionable roadmaps, and targeted socioeconomic outcomes;

4. Substantively Deepening Parliamentary Oversight: Extending factional work beyond routine procedural approvals into rigorous implementation audits, thematic monitoring, and regular public hearings;

5. Institutionalizing Youth and Gender Representation: Providing structured political mentorship, leadership incubation, and substantive access to party decision-making bodies.

True institutionalization of political parties in New Uzbekistan will be determined not solely by statutory provisions, but by their ability to generate social trust and provide tangible "political products"—legislative remedies, decisive oversight, and localized solutions—in response to citizens' daily concerns. Efficacy is inseparable from accountability to the electorate, an open culture of dialogue, and responsible governance in parliament.

In summary, ongoing party reforms in New Uzbekistan have established a vital institutional foundation. The decisive task now is enriching this framework with substantive political practice: elevating parties into competitive, proactive institutions firmly anchored in civil society and capable of exercising rigorous parliamentary control. This represents an indispensable condition for securing democratic sustainability and genuine civil society development.

References

1. Ўзбекистон Республикаси Конституцияси. – Тошкент, 2023.
2. “Сиёсий партиялар тўғрисида”ги Қонун. – 1996 йил 26 декабрь (ўзгартишлар билан).
3. Ўзбекистон Республикасининг Сайлов кодекси. – 2019 йил 25 июнь.
4. Вебер М. Политика как призвание и профессия. – Москва: Республика, 1990.
5. Сартори Дж. Партии и партийные системы. – Москва: Логос, 2002.
6. Дюверже М. Политические партии. – Москва: Академический проект, 2000.
7. Липсет С. Политический человек. – Москва: Весь мир, 2004.
8. Алмонд Г., Верба С. Гражданская культура. – Princeton University Press, 1963.
9. Хантингтон С. Политический порядок в меняющихся обществах. – Москва: Прогресс, 2004.
10. Иногучи Т. Political Parties in Japan. – Tokyo: Tokyo University Press, 2015.
11. Қирғизбоев М. Фуқаролик жамияти институтлари: назария ва амалиёт. – Тошкент, 2018.
12. Саидов С. Ўзбекистонда демократик сиёсий тизимни модернизация қилиш. – Тошкент, 2019.
13. Исломов З. Сиёсий партиялар ривожланишининг миллий модели. – Тошкент, 2020.
14. OSCE/ODIHR. Election Observation Reports on Uzbekistan. – 2016–2024.
15. Freedom House. Nations in Transit. – 2023.
16. International IDEA. Political Party Systems and Institutionalization Index. – 2022.
17. Masharipov, I. B. (2018). Transforming civil society in transition period and its certain issues. Eastern European Scientific Journal, (6).
18. Masharipov, M. B. (2021). Non-governmental non-profit organizations are the main institution of civil society. In Наука сегодня: проблемы и пути решения (pp. 100–101).
19. Batirovich, M. I. (2024). Factors of development processes of socio-political bases of NGOs in New Uzbekistan. International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research, 13(1), 16–21.
20. Masharipov, I. B. (2023). Relevance of civil society in the modern world, attitude and solutions. International Journal of Inclusive and Sustainable Education, 2(7), 53.
21. Masharipov, I. B. (2023). Governing bodies in the development of civil society: Problems and solutions. European Journal of Business Startups and Open Society, 3(6), 1–7.
22. Masharipov, I. B. (2024). Development factors of non-governmental organizations as institutions of civil society. European Journal of Learning on History and Social Sciences, 1(2), 105–111.
23. Машарипов, И. (2022). Ўзини-ўзи бошқариш органлари — фуқаролик жамиятининг асосий мезони. Общество и инновации, 3(5), 164–173.
24. Машарипов, И. (2022). Фуқароларнинг ўзини ўзи бошқариш органлари — барқарор жамият кафолати сифатида. Общественные науки в современном мире: теоретические и практические исследования, 1(24), 11–17.
25. Машарипов, И. Б. (2022). «Сиёсатшунослик» фанини ўқитишда фуқаролик жамияти назарияси ривожланишининг айрим масалалари (хорижий давлатлар тажрибаси).
26. Masharipov, I. B. (2024) Theoretical foundations of the development of modern civil society institutions in a democratic legal state. International Journal on Economics, Finance and Sustainable Development, 5, 134–146.
27. Masharipov, I. B. (2023). Solutions and problems of improving the socio-political processes of civil society in New Uzbekistan. Scholar, 1(33), 213–224.

28. Masharipov, I. (2024). Some criteria for the development of political parties in Uzbekistan. News of UzMU Journal, 1(1.2.1), 149–153.
29. Masharipov, I. (2024). O‘zbekistonda siyosiy partiyalar rivojlanishining ba’zi mezonlari. News of UzMU Journal, 1(1.2.1), 149–153.
30. Masharipov, I. B. (2024). Some problems of socio-political development of modern civil society. Journal of Social Research in Uzbekistan, 4(1), 79–88.
31. Masharipov, I. (2024). NNTlarning barqaror rivojlaniш жараёнлари: ечим ва муаммолар. News of UzMU Journal, 1(1.2), 132–135.
32. Masharipov, I. B. (2024). The role of civic activism in the development of modern civil society. International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research, 13(2), 1–6.
33. Машарипов, И. (2024). Янги Ўзбекистонда замонавий фуқаролик жамияти институтларининг ривожланиш жараёнлари. Общество и инновации, 5(1), 134–140.
34. Batirovich, M. I. (2024). Factors of development processes of socio-political bases of NGOs in New Uzbekistan. International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research, 13(1), 16–21.
35. Masharipov, I. B. (2023). Some aspects of indicators of the foundations of civil society development: Problems and solutions. Oriental Journal of Social Sciences, 3(6), 136–142.
36. Batirovich, M. I. (2018). Activation of political parties is a factor of building civil society. 1000 Kopii., 19, 43.
37. Masharipov, I. B. (2014). Evolution of the concept and theory of civil society. The Way of Science, 66.