

**THE ROLE OF GREEN TECHNOLOGIES IN ENHANCING COMPETITIVENESS AND GROWTH OF INDUSTRIAL FIRMS: EVIDENCE FROM UZBEKISTAN**

**Abbosbek Jurayev**

Independent Researcher at Tashkent State University of Economics

**Annotation:** This study investigates the impact of green technology adoption on the competitiveness and growth of industrial firms in Uzbekistan. Using survey data from 200 firms and econometric regression models (2015-2024), it finds that adopters achieve 36.5% export shares ( $p < 0.001$ ) and 11.4% productivity growth, with a significant coefficient of 2.15 ( $p < 0.001$ ) after controlling for firm size and R&D. Key barriers include high investment costs (76%), technical expertise gaps (59%), and financing limitations. Policy recommendations emphasize SME subsidies, regulatory simplification, and technology training programs. The findings provide empirical support for Uzbekistan's green economy transition and regional sustainable development.

**Keywords:** Green technologies, Industrial firms, Competitiveness, Productivity growth, Export performance, Econometric analysis, Uzbekistan economy and Sustainable development

### Introduction

Sustainable development has become a pivotal objective for emerging economies, including Uzbekistan, as they seek economic growth without compromising environmental integrity. Industrial firms contribute significantly to Uzbekistan's GDP, employment, and exports; however, meeting growing environmental standards and global green market demands requires adoption of green technologies. These eco-efficient processes—including energy-saving machinery and waste reduction techniques—offer firms potential cost savings and improved competitiveness.

This study examines how green technology adoption affects firm competitiveness and growth in Uzbekistan's industrial sector. We analyze adoption patterns, measure impacts on productivity and exports, and explore barriers preventing wider uptake, aiming to inform policymakers and industrial stakeholders.

### Literature Review

Environmental innovation is recognized globally as a driver of competitiveness and sustainable growth (Porter & van der Linde, 1995). Empirical evidence links green technology adoption with higher productivity, reduced costs, and greater market access (Rennings, 2000; Horbach, 2016). However, emerging economies like Uzbekistan face unique challenges including capital shortages and regulatory complexity that affect adoption rates (UNIDO, 2023). This study contributes to filling the regional empirical research gap by combining firm-level data and national statistics.

### Methodology

- Survey: Data collected through questionnaires from 200 industrial firms across Uzbekistan covering green technology usage, productivity, exports, and adoption challenges (2015-2024).
- Macroeconomic data: Collected from the State Committee on Statistics of Uzbekistan and Ministry of Economy, supplemented with international sources.

68	ISSN 2277-3630 (online), Published by International journal of Social Sciences & Interdisciplinary Research., under Volume: 15 Issue: 03 in March-2026 <a href="https://www.gejournal.net/index.php/IJSSIR">https://www.gejournal.net/index.php/IJSSIR</a>
	Copyright (c) 2026 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

- Analytical approach: Uses econometric regression models estimating productivity and export effects of green technology, controlling for firm characteristics. Interviews with experts supplemented quantitative findings.

**Results**

**Table 1: Firm Characteristics by Green Technology Adoption Status**

Indicator	Adopters (n=95)	Non-adopters (n=105)	p-value
Average Firm Age (years)	17.3	13.7	0.031*
Median Employees	250	195	0.027*
Average Export Share (%)	36.5	19.1	<0.001**
Mean Annual Productivity Growth (%)	11.4	9.1	0.004**

Notes:

- \*p < 0.05 indicates statistically significant difference.
- \*\*p < 0.01 indicates highly significant difference.

Industrial firms in Uzbekistan adopting green technologies tend to be older and larger, suggesting better resource availability for such investments. They demonstrate significantly stronger performance, nearly doubling export shares and showing greater productivity growth compared to non-adopters. This substantiates the assertion that eco-innovation confers competitive advantages translating into tangible growth benefits.

**Table 2: Regression Analysis – Effect of Green Technology on Productivity Growth**

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
Green Technology (dummy)	2.15	0.56	3.84	<0.001
Firm Size (log)	0.98	0.29	3.38	0.001
R&D Investment (log)	0.85	0.42	2.02	0.045
Constant	3.87	1.18	3.28	0.002

Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> = 0.31

Notes:

- The “dummy” variable represents whether a firm has adopted green technology (1 = yes, 0 = no).
- “Log” variables are natural logarithms of firm size and R&D expenditure to normalize data.

Our regression results affirm that green technology adoption significantly increases productivity growth by approximately 2.15 percentage points annually when controlling for firm size and research and development spending. Larger firms and those investing in R&D also show productivity gains, confirming economies of scale and innovation as vital drivers.

**Table 3: Barriers to Adoption of Green Technologies**

Barrier	Percentage of Firms Reporting
High initial investment cost	76%
Lack of technical expertise	59%
Regulatory uncertainty	53%
Limited access to finance	48%

Cost burdens are the most reported obstacle, cited by over three-quarters of firms, reflecting the capital-intensive nature of green technology. Deficiencies in technical knowledge and confusing regulatory frameworks also deter adoption. Financial market inefficiencies restrict access to favorable funding, limiting uptake especially among SMEs. Overcoming these barriers is critical to expand green technology dissemination.

**Table 4: Export Growth Rate Comparison (2015-2024)**

Year	Export Growth Rate (%) – Adopters	Export Growth Rate (%) – Non-adopters
2015	4.5	2.1
2016	5.7	2.5
2017	6.3	3.0
2018	7.1	3.3
2019	8.0	3.7
2020	5.4	1.5
2021	10.2	4.0
2022	12.3	6.1
2023	14.0	7.5
2024	15.8	8.0

*Note:* Annual average export growth rates shown.

Firms adopting green technologies enjoy consistently higher export growth rates than non-adopters, a trend strengthening over time. The differential roughly doubled by 2024, revealing eco-innovation's role in enhancing international competitiveness. Export markets increasingly favor environmentally sustainable products, positioning adopters advantageously in global supply chains.

### Discussion: Policy Impacts and Firm-Level Case Studies

The empirical findings underscore the significant role of green technologies in driving enhanced productivity and export performance among Uzbekistan's industrial firms. However, the policy environment and firm-specific strategies critically shape the extent and pace of green technology adoption.

### Policy Impacts

Uzbekistan has developed policies aimed at promoting sustainable industrial development and green innovation under programs such as the "Green Economy" roadmap. Financial incentives include tax exemptions, subsidized loans, and co-financing arrangements. However, regulatory complexity and limited enforcement consistency cause uncertainty. Capacity building and technical support programs remain insufficient particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

Improvement recommendations include harmonizing regulatory frameworks, increasing transparency, strengthening institutional coordination, expanding financing options tailored to green investments, and integrating green technology promotion into broader industrial modernization programs. Additionally, fostering public-private partnerships and international collaborations can accelerate technology transfer and resource efficiency.

### Firm-Level Case Studies

A textile firm in Tashkent implemented energy-efficient dyeing and water recycling technologies, reducing production costs by 15% and expanding exports to European markets by 20%. Their investment was supported by government subsidies and technical workshops. The firm's proactive innovation management and workforce training were pivotal success factors.

Similarly, a chemical producer modernized its waste treatment through low-emission technologies. Despite initial funding barriers, green loans facilitated upgrades leading to product quality improvements and compliance with international eco-standards, opening new export channels. This case highlights the critical impact of financial incentives and regulatory clarity on firm-level green technology diffusion.

### Recommendations

- Strengthen financial support instruments for green investment, prioritizing SMEs vulnerable to high capital costs.
- Simplify and unify environmental regulations, reduce bureaucratic hurdles, and ensure consistent enforcement.
- Expand technical assistance programs focused on technology adoption, operational training, and innovation capacity building.
- Promote public-private cooperation and linkages with research institutions to foster eco-innovation ecosystems.
- Facilitate certification and participation in green export supply chains to maximize international market access.
- Integrate green technology incentives into Uzbekistan's broader industrial policy and climate action frameworks.

### Conclusion

This study provides comprehensive evidence that the adoption of green technologies significantly enhances industrial firms' competitiveness and growth in Uzbekistan. By driving productivity improvements and expanding export opportunities, environmental innovation emerges as a key strategic mechanism toward sustainable industrial development. Yet challenges like high upfront costs, technical know-how gaps, and regulatory uncertainties continue to constrain broader diffusion.

Effective policy interventions—encompassing financial incentives, regulatory reforms, capacity building, and collaborative innovation networks—are imperative to surmount these barriers. The transformative journey toward greener industrial operations aligns with Uzbekistan's national priorities for economic modernization, environmental stewardship, and global market integration.

Continued research and monitoring will be essential to adapt policies and maximize green technologies' benefits, fostering an inclusive and sustainable industrial future.

**References**

1. Horbach, J., 2016. The impact of environmental innovation on firm performance: Empirical evidence from Germany. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 123, pp.135–145.
2. Klewitz, J. and Hansen, E.G., 2014. Sustainability-oriented innovation of SMEs: a systematic review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 65, pp.57–75.
3. Porter, M.E. and van der Linde, C., 1995. Toward a new conception of the environment-competitiveness relationship. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4), pp.97–118.
4. Rennings, K., 2000. Redefining innovation — eco-innovation research and the contribution from ecological economics. *Ecological Economics*, 32(2), pp.319–332.
5. State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Statistics, 2024. *Industrial Output and Export Statistics*. Tashkent: Government of Uzbekistan.
6. United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), 2023. *Green Innovation in Emerging Economies*. Vienna: UNIDO.